



**HAL**  
open science

## Analysis of nurse navigators' activities for hospital discharge coordination: a mixed method study for the case of cancer patients

Fatima Yatim, Marie Ferrua, Paula Cristofalo, Anne Girault, Marilene Lacaze, Mario Di Palma, Etienne Minvielle

### ► To cite this version:

Fatima Yatim, Marie Ferrua, Paula Cristofalo, Anne Girault, Marilene Lacaze, et al.. Analysis of nurse navigators' activities for hospital discharge coordination: a mixed method study for the case of cancer patients. *Supportive Care in Cancer*, 2017, 25 (3), pp.863-868. 10.1007/s00520-016-3474-x . hal-03769102

**HAL Id: hal-03769102**

**<https://hal-cnam.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03769102>**

Submitted on 3 May 2023


**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution| 4.0 International License

# Analysis of nurse navigators' activities for hospital discharge coordination: a mixed method study for the case of cancer patients

Fatima Yatim<sup>1,2</sup>  · Paula Cristofalo<sup>2</sup> · Marie Ferrua<sup>1</sup> · Anne Girault<sup>2</sup> · Marilene Lacaze<sup>1</sup> · Mario Di Palma<sup>1</sup> · Etienne Minvielle<sup>1,2</sup>

Received: 21 July 2016 / Accepted: 26 October 2016 / Published online: 9 November 2016  
© The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

## Abstract

**Background** Modern cancer care requires the development of clinical pathways to enhance coordination, but there are few descriptive studies about the content of coordination activities. More specifically, little is known about hospital discharge coordination, although this is seen as a sensitive phase of clinical pathway.

**Purpose** The purpose of this study was to identify and quantify the categories of activities performed by nurse navigators for hospital discharge coordination.

**Methods** Patients supported within the Coordinating Outpatient Care department (COC) at Gustave Roussy (Villejuif, France). Study conducted over two consecutive phases (Feb-September 2014): (1) a qualitative phase to identify the categories of coordination activities (interviews with patients plus, focus groups with nurse navigators—NNs); (2) a quantitative phase to quantify the relative share of each category. The calls received through the telephone platform of COC (made by both patients and primary care providers) were systematically reported (caller; reason for the call; procedure performed) and then analyzed.

**Results** Qualitative phase: 17 interviews with patients, plus 2 focus groups with NNs. Quantitative phase: 543 calls analyzed. The callers were patients or their relatives (38 %), private nurses (35 %), medical device providers (20 %), and other primary care providers (e.g., pharmacists, family physicians) (7 %). Five categories of coordination activities

identified: (F1) Patient monitoring (29 %); (F2) Helping to navigate (24 %); (F3) Managing technical problems (17 %); (F4) Explaining care protocols (16 %); (F5) Collecting and transmitting the patient medical record information (14 %).

**Conclusions** The majority of requirements are related to organizational issues (e.g., navigation, lack of information, appointments). Nurse navigators' training and qualification must therefore combine both clinical and managerial skills.

**Keywords** Nurse navigator · Discharge coordination · Cancer patients

Thanks to medical advances, cancer becomes a chronic disease. This evolution calls for new healthcare systems organization. Even if other chronic diseases face similar issues, cancer in particular greatly interests healthcare providers and policy-makers because it is responsible for the largest number of deaths, in addition to its social and economic consequences [1–4]. There is a wide consensus therefore that new coordination mechanisms between hospitals and primary care providers—as well as new home patient follow-up methods—are required to introduce a coordinated clinical pathway for cancer patients [5, 6]. The aim is to fill the gaps relating to fragmentation of the pathway, deficiencies in symptom monitoring, and lack of psychosocial support [7]. To achieve this goal, patient navigation programs (i.e., an intervention that reduces patient barriers to access to care and improves coordination of the clinical pathway) have been implemented [8–11]. Therefore, patient navigators have been increasingly used in an attempt to support patients—either throughout their pathway or during certain sensitive phases [12–15].

However, despite the rising number of experiments being conducted, there is no consensus as to the so-called “coordination activities” of patient navigators [11, 16, 17].

✉ Fatima Yatim  
fatimayatim15@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> Gustave Roussy, 114, rue Edouard-Vaillant, 94 805 Villejuif, France

<sup>2</sup> EHESP School Public Health, 15 avenue du Professeur Léon-Bernard, 35043 Rennes, France

The activities described in the literature are various; from patient monitoring [5]; overcoming barriers to accessing the healthcare (e.g., financial, legal, administrative) [10; 13–14]; to patient education and emotional support (e.g., information, advice) [18, 19]. Furthermore, navigator profiles differ depending on the activities performed [17, 20, 21]. Wells et al. [16] show in their literature review that while navigators could be nurses or nurse practitioners, they could also be lay navigators, social workers, health educators or cancer survivors. In their literature review of descriptive and efficacy studies regarding patient navigation programs, Paskett et al. [22] also emphasized the diversity of both patient navigation programs and navigator backgrounds. Moreover, the authors [22] highlighted that the studies had focused on patient navigation programs targeting cancer screening. By comparison, there is little knowledge about patient navigation programs focusing on other phases—such as hospital discharge. Indeed, this phase had been identified as a sensitive one for ensuring patient safety and continuity of care [23–36], and earlier studies highlighted the different unmet patient and primary care providers' needs associated with this phase [25, 26].

In fact, little is known concerning the activities devoted to hospital discharge coordination: What are the requests of patients and primary care providers in this phase? What is the content of the activities performed by nurse navigators to respond to these requests? And what are the skills needed? These questions are of interest because findings could impact the recruitment and training of navigators, the implementation of navigation programs, and ultimately could have an impact on patient care efficiency and effectiveness, as well as their satisfaction.

## Purpose

The objective was to identify and quantify the categories of activities performed by nurse navigators for hospital discharge coordination.

## Methods

The case study was conducted at *Gustave Roussy Comprehensive Cancer Center (Villejuif, France)*. Some of the hospitalized patients are physically and mentally autonomous but they require personal assistance due to the complexity of their clinical status (e.g., nutrition problems) or their social conditions (e.g., social isolation), regardless of their pathology. Such patients are followed via the patient navigation program of the Coordinating Outpatient Care (COC) department. The COC department employs five nurse navigators (NNs) and two assistant nurses in contact with patients and primary care providers, via a telephone platform.

Nurse navigators must have a French official qualification for nurses and a relevant clinical knowledge and skills in oncology. All NNs have proven experience in care coordination and most received a specific training (80 h) on care coordination, delivered by *Paris Sud University* and *Ecole des Sciences du cancer - Gustave Roussy*.

The COC department ensures the follow-up of around 3000 patients per year, and each nurse navigator takes care of 500 patients. Follow-up ends when home care ends, save in exceptional cases.

The main objectives of this patient navigation program are:

- 1) To organize hospital discharge at the request of inpatient department nurses (coordinating all primary care needed: private nurses, family physicians, pharmacists, etc.)
- 2) To ensure follow-up at a distance via the telephone platform, from Monday to Friday (ensuring regular outgoing calls (once every 2 weeks), and answering daily incoming calls)

## Study design

The study was conducted over two consecutive phases:

- Qualitative phase

During this first phase, the main categories of activities performed by NNs, in response to the main requests from patients and primary care providers, were identified. In order to achieve this, focus groups with NNs from the COC department and interviews with patients followed by this department were conducted.

- Quantitative phase

During this second phase, the calls received through the COC department telephone platform, made by both patients and primary care providers, were systematically reported and analyzed in order to quantify the relative share of each category of NNs' activities.

## Qualitative data collection (February–April 2014)

As a first step, a focus group was conducted with the five NNs. This focus group lasted 2 h and consisted in describing the content of NNs' daily activities and the main requests from patients and primary care providers.

Patients were subsequently interviewed by two researchers (FY, PC). Prospective patients were identified and approached by NNs to provide a representative sample of patients with regards to age, gender, and specific diagnosis. NNs explained the study to patients and those who agreed were later

contacted by researchers and interviewed. During the interviews, which lasted on average 2 h, patients were encouraged to speak freely about their experiences with hospital discharge and care coordination as well as about their needs (e.g., difficulties experienced, support provided by NNs).

To complete the qualitative data collection, a second focus group was conducted with the NNs to present and discuss the preliminary results of the first focus group and the interviews with patients.

### Qualitative data analysis

Both focus groups, and all interviews, were audio-recorded for verbatim transcription prepared by a professional transcriptionist, checked for accuracy against the sound files by the interviewers, and corrected where necessary.

The data were analyzed using open coding by two researchers (FY, PC) in an inductive posture [27]. Vertical coding was used in first to identify the activities performed by NNs to meet the requests from patients and primary care providers. Five categories of activities were identified. Vertical coding also made it possible to define the meaning of the items identified within each of these five categories.

Next, horizontal coding was used to combine and compare the meaning of each item. The results generated independently by each researcher were compared and discussed with the principal investigator (EM) until a consensus was reached.

### Quantitative data collection (May–September, 2014)

In order to quantify the relative share of each category of NNs' activities, we developed a quantitative analysis based on a data grid. This was achieved by translating the items defined within the five categories into requests for which patients and primary care providers contact the NNs via the telephone platform of the COC department.

The data grid was refined during an observation period (7 days), and then tested over a period of 2 weeks (10 days), leading to minor adjustments.

Lastly, each call received via the COC telephone platform was systematically reported into the data grid. In addition to reason for the call (chosen among the items proposed; e.g., clinical alert, request for information, or transmission of medical records data), the data grid also included information on call date and caller identity (patient/relative, private nurse, family physician, and others).

### Quantitative data analysis

The data for each grid were entered into a spreadsheet (Excel) and a database was incorporated. Then, the data were summarized using descriptive statistics, to identify the number and percentage of each type of caller and each request for which

patients and primary care providers had contacted the COC NNs via the telephone platform. Secondly, pivot tables were used to identify the percentage of each request for each type of caller.

### Research ethics

Participation was entirely voluntary and informed patient consent was obtained systematically. Furthermore, the study was approved by the *Gustave Roussy* clinical trial department.

## Results

### Qualitative results

In addition to the two focus groups conducted with NNs, 17 interviews with patients were required to achieve empirical data saturation; the additional interviews did not provide any additional information about the five categories of NNs' activities and about the items identified.

The details of patient demographics are shown in Table 1.

The qualitative data analysis enabled us to identify five categories of NNs' activities, defined as follows:

#### Patient monitoring (F1)

This category includes requests related to patient monitoring; reporting side effects (e.g., fever, pain), or more rarely reporting an emergency (e.g., discomfort, bleeding, dehydration): “His condition was declining, the patient was not feeling at all well, and the family doctor asked him to return to the hospital, then his wife called us so that we could tell them what to do.” (NN—Focus group).

#### Helping to navigate (F2)

**Table 1** Patient demographics

Age (years)	Range (32/78) (14 of the 17 were aged between 45 and 70 years)	
Sex	Female	5
	Male	12
Education level	Higher level	6
	Primary and secondary levels	11
Diagnosis	ENT cancer	5
	Digestive cancer	4
	Testicular cancer	3
	Uterine cancer	2
	Breast cancer	2
	Lung cancer	1

This category includes various requests relating to clinical pathways. A significant portion of calls concerns requests for guidance or simply relaying of contact information (e.g., referring oncologist, dietitian). Reasons could be the need to contact these professionals for advice and/or for appointment-related details (e.g., appointment management, cancellations). However, in some cases, the purpose of the calls can be to alert NNs to, for example, patient's social or psychological difficulties and to ask for assistance. In other cases, the only request is to get a more general explanation regarding pathway organization: "You know, it's a very worrying time. I contacted them just to get further explanations about the pathway and how it works, to reassure me" (Patient 7—Interview).

Managing technical problems (F3)

This category includes calls to alert about dysfunctions related to patient care—such as difficulties in drug or medical device delivery or equipment malfunction (e.g., clogged probe, broken pump): "The probe does not work anymore, it is blocked, I tried to call the provider, but they did not respond" (Patient 4—Interview).

Explaining care protocols (F4)

This category includes requests for explanation concerning care protocols—such as a need for clarification about the application of a drug prescription (e.g., frequency, dosage, contraindications), side effects or care techniques (e.g., hydration, infusion duration, use of equipment): "It is not easy, so private nurses prefer to check. They say, you know, I prefer to ask how to do it, so that it goes well." (NN—Focus group).

Collecting and transmitting patient data (F5)

The last category of NNs' activities concerns the sharing and transmission of medical records data (e.g., exam results, hospitalization report): "The patient had been discharged a few days ago, but the doctor had not yet received the hospitalization report, so the private nurse asked me to send it to the doctor" (NN—Focus group). But the vast majority concerns problems relating to prescriptions either missing, illegible, or repeat. In certain cases, the only reason for the call is to obtain or notify diverse information (e.g., announcement of hospitalization).

## Quantitative results

Five hundred forty-three calls received between May and July 2014 via the COC telephone platform were systematically reported and analyzed. The callers were patients or their relatives (38 %), private nurses (35 %), medical device providers (20 %), and other primary care providers (e.g., family physicians, pharmacists) (7 %).

Requests relating to patient monitoring (F1) account for 29 % of calls; those relating to helping to navigate through the clinical pathway (F2) account for 24 %; those relating to managing technical problems (F3) account for 17 %; those relating to explaining care protocols (F4) account for 16 %; and those relating to collecting and transmitting patient data (F5) account for 14 %.

Overall volume of calls for each category of NNs' activities is shown in Table 2:

Call volumes according to the requests from patients and primary care providers are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

Overall, requests relating to clinical monitoring activities (F1) account for 29 % of the calls whereas those relating to what we qualify as organizational activities (F2–F5) account for 71 % of calls.

## Discussion

This case study covers the content of the coordination activities performed by nurse navigators to address the needs of patients and primary care providers after patient discharge.

The first result is the importance of what we qualify as organizational activities during the hospital discharge process. If a significant portion of NNs' activities concern clinical monitoring (F1—29 %) (managing clinical alerts and emergencies), the majority of requirements (71 %) relate to organizational issues: F2—Helping to navigate through the clinical pathway (24 %); F3—Managing technical problems (17 %); F4—Explaining care protocols (16 %); F5—Collecting and transmitting patient data (14 %). This result offers a comprehensive view of various actions already noticed elsewhere [17; 28–30] and corroborates the findings of studies showing that hospital discharge is the handover with the highest level of unmet coordination needs [25, 26]. Indeed, all these activities participate to the effort of coordination during the hospital discharge process.

A second result has to deal with the content of these activities. Our study shows that the main activities performed by NNs involve providing the necessary information (e.g.,

**Table 2** NNs' activities according to patients and primary care providers' requests

	Volume of calls ( <i>n</i> )
(F1) Patient monitoring	156
(F2) Helping to navigate through the pathway	130
(F3) Managing technical problems	92
(F4) Explaining care protocols	87
(F5) Collecting and transmitting patient data	76
Total	543

**Table 3** Patients' (or their relatives) requests

	Calls from patients or their relatives (%) ( <i>n</i> = 211 phone calls)
(F1) Requests for intervention or clinical advice (side effects or emergencies)	33
(F2) Requests for guidance, contact information of professionals, or for help to navigate through the pathway	22
(F3) Requests for intervention to solve various dysfunctions related to patient care	10
(F4) Requests for explanation concerning care protocols	16
(F5) Requests for transmission of medical information and data	19
Total	100

announcement of hospitalization, contact information) or intervening directly with various professionals to solve problems or preventing their occurrence through anticipation (e.g., equipment malfunction, social, or psychological difficulties). Their daily activities also include care management well beyond hospital discharge, and general support to patients and primary care providers, to better organize each patient's pathway. All in all, they help articulate the interventions of the various professionals and strengthen the relationship between hospitals and primary care providers, as well as between all these professionals and the patients [31, 32].

Consequently, although such activities necessitate traditional clinical skills, our study suggests that other specific skills are also required. The mobilized skills are in fact not limited to traditional nursing skills relating to care-giving functions [33]; they also include knowledge of the healthcare

**Table 4** Primary care providers' requests

	Calls from primary care providers (%) ( <i>n</i> = 332 phone calls)
(F1) Requests for intervention or clinical advice (side effects or emergencies)	34
(F2) Requests for guidance, contact information of professionals, or for help to navigate through the pathway	11
(F3) Requests for intervention to solve various dysfunctions related to patient care	22
(F4) Requests for explanation concerning care protocols	15
(F5) Requests for transmission of medical information and data	18
Total	100

system and of available resources within the hospital or the community [28, 34, 35]. This also implies an ability to identify and resolve problems and to understand the different needs of patients and professionals [22, 35]. In addition, this requires some leadership in order to mobilize networks of professionals and create trustworthy relationships between patients and professionals [33]. All these requirements relate to managerial skills, which can be defined as the NNs' ability to play a facilitating role in order to improve the joint performance of all enrolled healthcare providers [36].

With regard to the activities identified for the specific case of hospital discharge, navigators cannot be either lay navigators, social workers, health educators, or cancer survivors. However, nurses could be assisted by other professionals—such as nursing assistants—for the performance of low value tasks that do not demand clinical or managerial skills (e.g., collecting and transmitting information or medical records data).

## Limitations

The main limitation of this study has to do with the fact that it was conducted in a single cancer center. In addition, the program analyzed herein is available only for autonomous patients but who still require post-discharge support because of the complexity of their clinical or social conditions. The needs of other patients may therefore diverge in some respects. However, although our study findings are specific, they are supported by international studies on patient navigation that have been conducted in other settings. This could be explained by the existence of needs that are common to all patients and healthcare professionals in developed countries, regardless of the situation. Nevertheless, it should be stressed that *GR* is a French comprehensive cancer center, and then there are certain specificities relating to the French healthcare system, as well as others that concern comprehensive cancer centers exclusively.

## Conclusion

Our findings enrich previous studies on best practices regarding discharge coordination at an organizational level, by offering an on-the-ground analysis of discharge needs from both patients' and primary care providers' perspectives. We have shown that most coordination activities are of organizational nature, thus requiring mainly managerial skills. Training and qualification combining both clinical and managerial aspects are recommended.

**Acknowledgments** We thank all patients and the COC department staff at *Gustave Roussy*.

### Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits any non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

### References

- Byers T (2010) Two decades of declining cancer mortality: progress with disparity. *Annu Rev Public Health* 31:121–132
- Clark CR, Baril N, Kunicki M et al (2009) Addressing social determinants of health to improve access to early breast cancer detection: results of the Boston REACH 2010 Breast and Cervical Cancer Coalition Women's Health Demonstration Project. *J Women's Health (Larchmt)* 18:677–690
- Stewart BW, Wild CP (2014) World cancer report
- Mariotto AB, Yabroff K, Shao Y et al (2011) Projections of the cost of cancer care in the United States: 2010–2020. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 103(2):117–128
- Ben-Ami E, Merom H, Sikron F et al (2014) Involvement of the family physician in the care of chemotherapy-treated patients with cancer: patients' perspectives. *JOP* 10(5):298–305
- Institute of Medicine (2011) Patient-centered cancer treatment planning: improving the quality of oncology care. Workshop summary. The National Academies Press, Washington, DC
- Wu C, Bannister W, Schumacker P et al (2014) Economic value of a cancer case management program. *JOP* 10(3):178–186
- Freeman HP, Rodriguez RL (2011) History and principles of patient navigation. *Cancer* 117:3537–3540
- Freeman HP (2004) A model patient navigator program. *Oncol Issues* 19:44–46
- Hede K (2006) Agencies look to patient navigators to reduce cancer care disparities. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 98:157–159
- Hendren S, Fiscella K (2014) Patient navigation improves the care experience for patients with newly diagnosed cancer. *JCO* 1:3–4
- Rousseau SJ, Humiston SG, Yosha A et al (2014) Patient navigation moderates emotion and information demands of cancer treatment: a qualitative analysis. *Support Care Cancer* 22:3143–3151
- Dohan D, Schrag D (2005) Using navigators to improve care of underserved patients: current practices and approaches. *Cancer* 104:848–855
- Freeman HP (2006) Patient navigation: a community based strategy to reduce cancer disparities. *J Urban Health* 83:139–141
- Oluwole SF, Ali AO, Adu A et al (2003) Impact of a cancer screening program on breast cancer stage at diagnosis in a medically underserved urban community. *J Am Coll Surg* 196:180–188
- Wells KJ, Battaglia TA, Dudley DJ et al (2008) Patient navigation: state of the art or is it science? *Cancer* 113:1999–2010
- Campbell C, Craig J, Eggert J, Bailey-Dorton C (2010) Implementing and measuring the impact of patient navigation at a comprehensive community cancer center. *Oncol Nurs Forum* 37(1)
- Basu M et al (2013) The effect of nurse navigation on timeliness of breast cancer care at an academic comprehensive cancer center. *Cancer* 119(14):2524–2531
- Madore S, Kilbourn K, Valverde P et al (2014) Feasibility of a psychosocial and patient navigation intervention to improve access to treatment among underserved breast cancer patients. *Support Care Cancer* 22(8):2085–2093
- Shaun L, Wright M, Hodgson S et al (2014) The development and implementation of a volunteer lay navigation competency framework at an outpatient cancer center. *Support Care Cancer* 22:2571–2580
- Lorhan S, Cleghorn L, Fitch M, et al. (2013) Moving the agenda forward for cancer patient navigation: understanding volunteer and peer navigation approaches. *J Cancer Educ* 1–8
- Paskett ED, Harrop JP, Wells KJ (2011) Patient navigation: an update on the state of the science. *CA Cancer J Clin* 61:237–249
- Kripalani S, LeFevre F, Phillips CO et al (2007) Deficits in communication and information transfer between hospital-based and primary care physicians: implications for patient safety and continuity of care. *JAMA* 297(8):831–841
- Jeannie LH, Robert JR, George KF et al (2003) Continuity of care: a multidisciplinary review. *BMJ* 327:1219
- Walsh J, Young JM, Harrison JD et al (2011) What is important in cancer care coordination? A qualitative investigation. *Eur J Cancer Care* 20(2):220–227
- Søndergaard EG, Grøne BH, Wulff CN et al (2013) A survey of cancer patients' unmet information and coordination needs in handovers—a cross-sectional study. *BMC Research Notes* 6:378
- Strauss A, Corbin J (2014) Basics of qualitative research: techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory. Sage Publications
- Doll R, Stephen J, Barroetavena MC et al (2003) Patient navigation in cancer care: program delivery and research in British Columbia. *Can Oncol Nurs J* 13:193–193
- Fillion L, de Serres M, Lapointe-Goupil R et al (2006) Implementing the role of a patient-navigator nurse at a university hospital centre. *Can Oncol Nurs J* 16:5–17
- Seek AJ, Hogle WP (2007) Modeling a better way: navigating the healthcare system for patients with lung cancer. *Clin J Oncol Nurs* 11:81–85
- Krishnasamy M, Wells M, Wilkie E (2007) Patients and carer experiences of care provision after a diagnosis of lung cancer in Scotland. *Supportive Care Cancer* 15(3):327–332
- Jean-Pierre P, Hendren S, Fiscella K et al (2011) Understanding the processes of patient navigation to reduce disparities in cancer care: perspectives of trained navigators from the field. *J Cancer Educ* 26:111–120
- Allen D (2014) Re-conceptualising holism in the contemporary nursing mandate: from individual to organisational relationships. *Soc Sci Med* 119:131–138
- Skrutkowski MSA, Eades M, Swidzinski M et al (2008) Impact of a pivot nurse in oncology on patients with lung or breast cancer: symptom distress, fatigue, quality of life, and use of healthcare resources. *Oncol Nurs Forum* 35:948–954
- Wagner EH, Ludman EJ, Bowles EJA et al (2014) Nurse navigators in early cancer care: a randomized, controlled trial. *JCO*; 32(1):12–U85
- Drucker PF (2006) Classic Drucker: wisdom from Peter Drucker from the pages of Harvard Business Review. Hardcover, March 1

## Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH (“Springer Nature”).

Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users (“Users”), for small-scale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use (“Terms”). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control;
2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful;
3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

[onlineservice@springernature.com](mailto:onlineservice@springernature.com)